Appl. No. 10/612,477 Amdt. dated 2 August 2005 Reply to Office Action of 3 May 2005

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Office Action, claim 17 was rejected under Section 103(a) over the combination of *Johansson* and *Lee*, claims 15-16 were allowed, and, while claims 1-14 and 18 were acknowledged to recite patentable subject matter, objection was made to the claims for informalities recited in claims 1 and 12 and for claim 18 being dependent upon a rejected parent claim, respectively.

The Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's allowance of claims 15-16 and indication of allowable subject matter of claims 1-14 and 18.

Claims 1 and 12 have been amended, as set forth herein, in manners believed to overcome the objections made to such claims. And, claim 17 has been amended to include the recitations of claim 18, indicated by the Examiner to recite allowable subject matter.

Additional amendments to claims 1-2, and 15 are believed not to affect the substance of the claims and, therefore, are believed not to affect the Examiner's indication of allowability of their subject matter.

In light of the foregoing, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reexamination of claims 1-14 and 17, and consideration for allowance of these claims is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 33,922

Dated: 2 Hug 05

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.

5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400

Dallas, Texas 75225

Telephone: (214) 706-4201

Fax: (214) 706-4242

robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com

8